

Site-averaging in the integral equation theory of interaction site models of macromolecular fluids: An exact approach

V. Krakoviack*

*Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge,
Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, United Kingdom*

A simple "trick" is proposed, which allows to perform exactly the site-averaging procedure required when developing integral equation theories of interaction site models of macromolecular fluids. It shows that no approximation is involved when the number of Ornstein-Zernike equations coupling the site-site correlation functions is reduced to one. Its potential practical interest for future theoretical developments is illustrated with a rederivation of the so-called molecular closures.

In the recent years, the application of liquid state integral equation methods¹ to soft matter systems allowed significant advances in our understanding of their properties. One of the most prominent developments in this field is the formulation of the Polymer Reference Interaction Site Model (PRISM), extending to macromolecular systems the Reference Interaction Site Model (RISM) of Chandler and Andersen.² Mostly applied to polymeric fluids,³ it has recently been considered to study fluids of platelet-like colloids.⁴

It is usually derived from the RISM theory as follows. Consider a one-component fluid with molecules made of N identical sites, i.e. the interaction potentials between any pair of sites on different molecules are the same. These sites are in general non-equivalent (except those related by obvious symmetries) and, at the pair level, the structure of the fluid has thus to be characterized by a matrix of $N \times N$ site-site total correlation functions $h_{ij}(r)$, where indices i and j refer to types of sites on different molecules. The RISM approach relies on the generalized Ornstein-Zernike matrix equation

$$h_{ij}(r) = \sum_{k,l=1}^N \omega_{ik} * c_{kl} * [\omega_{lj} + \rho h_{lj}](r), \quad (1)$$

where ρ is the molecular number density and $*$ denotes convolution integrals. $c_{ij}(r)$ is the direct correlation function between sites of types i and j on different molecules, and $\omega_{ij}(r)$ the intramolecular distribution function of sites of types i and j . To solve Eq. (1), it has to be supplemented by some appropriate closure. In the case of hard-sphere sites of diameter d , it can be the Percus-Yevick approximation $h_{ij}(r) = -1$ if $r < d$, $c_{ij}(r) = 0$ if $r > d$.^{1,5}

PRISM is obtained by making the simplifying assumption that all N sites are equivalent. This is true for ring molecules for instance, but it amounts to a neglect of end effects for linear molecules or of edge effects for platelets. Then, using $h_{ij}(r) \simeq h(r)$ and $c_{ij}(r) \simeq c(r)$ for all i, j 's,

one finds that Eq. (1) reduces to the single scalar equation

$$h(r) = \omega * c * [\omega + \rho N h](r), \quad (2)$$

with

$$\omega(r) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \omega_{ij}(r). \quad (3)$$

$\omega(r)$ is the total intramolecular distribution function. Using a perturbation theory approach, Curro and Schweizer⁶ have shown that the best choices for $h(r)$ and $c(r)$ are the site averaged values,

$$h(r) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N h_{ij}(r) \quad (4)$$

and similarly for $c(r)$, thus demonstrating that PRISM involves a pre-averaging of chain end (or platelet edge) effects and evidencing the practical relevance of the theory, since the doubly summed quantity of Eq. (4), to which it gives access, appears naturally in the calculation of the scattering properties or of the thermodynamics of the system.

In the present Note, I want to put forward a "trick" for the derivation of PRISM from RISM equations, showing that the reduction of the $N \times N$ matrix equations of the latter to the scalar equation of the former can in fact be done without invoking any approximation.

The basic idea of this derivation is that, if all sites on a molecule are identical in term of their interaction potential, then there is a permutation symmetry between them. To take this symmetry into account, one can artificially decompose each molecule into two objects: a backbone made of N sites, labelled 1 to N , in which are embedded the geometry and the intramolecular interactions of the original molecule, and a set of N identical "atoms", labelled $\hat{1}$ to $\hat{N}$, carrying the intermolecular interactions and occupying at random the backbone sites such that all permutations of N atoms have equal probability. Clearly, this delocalisation of the interaction sites does not correspond to any real physical process and is only introduced for formal consideration.

One can write RISM equations for the mobile atoms, which have the usual form (1), now with hatted indices.

*Present address: Laboratoire de Chimie, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, 46, Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France

These simply are formal definitions of the direct correlation functions $c_{ij}(r)$, applicable to any molecule within a site-site description.

In this system, all interaction sites are by construction equivalent. Thus, for all $\hat{i}$ and $\hat{j}$, one has $h_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}(r) = h'(r)$, $c_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}(r) = c'(r)$, and $\sum_{\hat{j}=1}^{\hat{N}} \omega_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}(r) = \omega'(r)$. In addition, it is obvious that the total distribution functions of the present model coincide with the site-averaged quantities obtained within the conventional RISM approach, i.e. $h'(r) = h(r)$ and $\omega'(r) = \omega(r)$. It immediately follows from Eq. (1) with hatted indices that Eq. (2), with c replaced by c' , is exactly obeyed. Note that nothing can be said *a priori* on the relation between c and c' and in particular there is no reason to think they should be equal.

So, what did we learn? From a practical point of view, not much: within the present approach, the reduction of RISM to PRISM has been made a tautology and, owing to the odd nature of the backbone plus delocalized atoms system, the final situation is somewhat equivalent to simply taking Eq. (2) as a formal definition of the total direct correlation function. The problem can be considered as only being displaced to the question of the non-trivial relation between the c_{ij} 's of the usual RISM approach and the $c_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}$'s of the present fictitious system. However, the fundamental point remains: the reduction from N^2 to 1 of the number of Ornstein-Zernike equations does not involve any approximation and, at variance with the usual claim, nothing like end or edge effects is lost when going from the RISM level of description to the simpler PRISM one. As usual with integral equation theories, if PRISM, compared to a full RISM treatment, can appear inadequate in some case, it is only because of our inability to guess a correct expression for the direct correlation function.

More interesting is probably the fact that the present picture could provide new insights on the PRISM formalism and thus lead to improvements of the theory. To illustrate this point, I propose a derivation of the so-called molecular closures⁷ developed for models where the intermolecular site-site interaction consists of a hard core part of diameter d plus a soft tail potential.

Starting with the classical RISM approach, $c_{ij}(r)$ for $r > d$ is often given the interpretation of a renormalized intermolecular site-site interaction potential. In the backbone plus delocalized atoms system, it can thus be considered as an effective interaction between backbone sites. It is effective because the backbone sites do not directly interact and the interaction is actually mediated by the delocalized particles. Consider a configuration in which particle $\hat{k}$ on one molecule and particle $\hat{l}$ on another molecule occupy respectively the backbone sites i and j . Using the simplest superposition approximation, the contribution to $c_{ij}(r)$ of two particles, $\hat{m}$ on the same molecule as $\hat{k}$ and $\hat{n}$ on the same as $\hat{l}$, can be approximated as $\omega_{\hat{k}\hat{m}} * c_{\hat{m}\hat{n}} * \omega_{\hat{n}\hat{l}}(r)$. Summing over all mobile particles and averaging over all their permutations, one eventually finds $c_{ij}(r) \simeq \omega * c' * \omega(r)$ for $r > d$.⁸ Then, using a so-called atomic closure for $c'(r)$, Eq. (2) with c replaced by c' , and the impenetrability constraint $h(r) = -1$ if $r < d$, we are left with the same equations as those proposed in Ref. 7, but with a somewhat different physical content. This points to possible improvements of the molecular closures: indeed, it has for instance been shown that in some cases, simply convoluting by ω is not the best way to give an account of the macromolecular connectivity.⁹

Finally, like in PRISM, equivalence of all sites on a molecule is often assumed in the framework of the density functional theory, in order to derive equations for macromolecular fluids from theories of heteronuclear site-site fluids.¹⁰ One could thus think of using the present “trick” in this context. However, since it is already known that the excess free-energy functional can exactly be expressed in terms of the total monomer density¹¹ (which can be thought of as a site-averaged quantity for inhomogeneous systems), here again the proposed approach would probably not lead to significant practical progress, but only help clarifying the formal relation between the site-specific and site-averaged levels of description.

The author acknowledges support from the EPSRC under grant number GR/M88839 and thanks J.-P. Hansen, A. A. Louis, R. Allen, and D. G. Rowan for useful discussions.

¹ See e.g. J. P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, *Theory of Simple Liquids*, 2nd Ed. (Academic Press, London, 1986).

² For a review, see D. Chandler, in *Studies in Statistical Mechanics*, edited by E. Montroll and J. L. Lebowitz (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982), vol. 8, p. 275.

³ For a review, see K. S. Schweizer and J. G. Curro, *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **98**, 1 (1997).

⁴ L. Harnau, D. Costa, and J.-P. Hansen, *Europhys. Lett.* **53**, 729 (2001).

⁵ D. Chandler and H. C. Andersen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **57**, 1930 (1972).

⁶ J. G. Curro and K. S. Schweizer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **87**, 1842 (1987).

⁷ A. Yethiraj and K. S. Schweizer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **97**, 5927 (1992); K. S. Schweizer and A. Yethiraj, *J. Chem. Phys.* **98**, 9053 (1993).

⁸ Note that $c_{ij}(r)$ is found independent of i and j in this approximation.

⁹ V. Krakoviack, J.-P. Hansen, and A. A. Louis, *Europhys. Lett.* **58**, 53 (2002).

¹⁰ See for instance J. P. Donley, J. G. Curro, and J. D. McCoy, *J. Chem. Phys.* **101**, 3205 (1994); A. Yethiraj, *J. Chem. Phys.* **109**, 3269 (1998); A. Yethiraj, H. Fynewever, and C.-Y. Shew, *J. Chem. Phys.* **114**, 4323 (2001); T. Sumi and F. Hirata, *J. Chem. Phys.* **118**, 2431 (2003).

¹¹ C. E. Woodward, *J. Chem. Phys.* **94**, 3183 (1991).